

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,544	09/09/2003	Jung Chieh Lai		3065
25859	7590 04/09/2004		EXAM	INER
WEI TE CHUNG			LUEBKE, RENEE S	
FOXCONN II	NTERNATIONAL, INC	· ·		
1650 MEMOREX DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CLA	RA, CA 95050		2833	<del></del>

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/659,544	LAI & KUO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Renee S. Luebke	2833
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-6,10-12,14 and 15 is/are rejected 7)  Claim(s) 7-9,13,16 and 17 is/are objected to 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 September 2003</u> i Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)  accepted or b)  object ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	<b>△</b> □	(/DTO 442)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/9/03.		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/659,544 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 2, reference numeral 143 apparently indicates two different structures. In addition, it is unclear how either of these corresponds to ports 135 as stated on the first line of page 4. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. Applicant is kindly requested to place the figures on the sheets so that they may be easily viewed with the pages in a vertical orientation.
- 3. The specification is objected to because of the use of non-standard grammar throughout. For example see the first sentence of paragraph 2. In addition, the standoffs of claim 11 are not found in the specification.
- 4. Claims 12, 13 and 15-17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Contrary to claim 12 and 15, the side arms of the present invention do not extend *around* the walls.

On the first line of claims 13 and 16, it appears that -said-, or something similar, should be inserted after *wherein*.

Claim 17 lacks a period at the end.

Appropriate corrections are required.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/659,544

Art Unit: 2833

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 7. Claims 1-5, 11, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tung, et al. This electrical card connector comprises a terminal module 5, a shell 1 with an ejector 41 and a shutter mechanism 2. The shutter mechanism comprises a mounting member and a door 3. In regard to claim 2, the mounting member comprises a base plate 19 with arms 23; the arms are retained to the shell and to the ejector indirectly via the shell. In regard to claim 3, when the base plate is held vertically, the arm comprises a horizontal part 23 and a vertical part 231. In regard to claims 4 and 5, the edge of the recess 105 is seen to be a stopping projection, which engages the finger 231 of the arm. In regard to claim 11, the standoffs are shown in Fig. 5 (but not shown) on each side of the shell.
- 8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tung. The claimed arrangement of the tab and opening is seen to be a reversal of the opening on the shell and the tab on the arm of Tung. As both arrangements are well known and commonly used, the rearrangement would have been obvious since it would work equally well regardless of the position or arrangement, and one skilled in the art would have been able to select the location based on aesthetic/environmental requirements/preferences.
- 9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tung in view of Ho, et al. The door of Tung lacks a spring. However, the similar door of Ho comprises a spring with two fingers and arranged as claimed. It biases the door to a preferred position. For the same reason, it would have been obvious to include a spring on the door of Tung.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,544 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The connector of Doye, et al. is a further example of a door used to cover a card opening.

- 11. Claims 7-9, 13, 16 and 17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Any response to this action may be mailed to:

  Commissioner for Patents
  P.O. Box 1450
  Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306.

- 13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke whose telephone number is (571) 272-2009.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (571) 272-2800, extension 33.

Renee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

April 2, 2004